UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,680	09/19/2005	Fulvio Costa	7601/84392	2 1158	
	7590 05/29/200 OF MICHAEL A. SAN	EXAMINER			
15400 CALHO SUITE 125		DEHGHAN, QUEENIE S			
ROCKVILLE, I	MD 20855	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/549,6	880	COSTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		QUEENI	E DEHGHAN	1791		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED ST WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may b after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	NGER, FROM THE M e available under the provisions om the mailing date of this comp pecified above, the maximum st set or extended period for reply	IAILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be the Will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is 3)⊡ Since this app	olication is in condition	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	=		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the abo 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> i 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification	s/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restric on is objected to by th	re withdrawn from o	requirement.	Examiner.		
 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	s Patent Drawing Review (F Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/549,680 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 3-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 3-8 are process claims that do not offer further limitations to the final silica glass product of claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under

Art Unit: 1791

37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Toki et al. (4,681,615). Toki discloses a silica glass formed by a sol gel method comprising preparing a sol from a silicon alkoxide, hydrolyzing to form the sol, gelling, and drying the gel, and thermally treating the sol gel in at a temperature of 800-1500 °C in a controlled atmosphere containing traces of water (abstract, col. 2 lines 45-60, col. 4 line 50 to col. 5 line 23, col. 6 lines 39-47), wherein the controlled atmosphere is expected to have traces of water since water is removed from the sol gel though the thermal treatment. Toki discloses a resulting silica glass with high quality (examples). Although the particular properties, such as light transmittance, streak, strip, shadow are not specifically mentioned, these are desired results to be achieved and appear to be inherent to any silica glass, especially when prepared by a similar method steps as defined in the dependent claims. Toki discloses a method substantially similar to the recited claims, resulting in high quality silica glass. Therefore, the claimed properties of the silica glass, as recited in claim 2, are assumed to be inherent to the method of Toki. See MPEP § 2112.02. In the event any differences can be shown for the product of the product-by-process claims 2-8, as opposed to the product taught by the reference Toki, such differences would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as a routine modification of

Art Unit: 1791

the product in the absence of a showing of unexpected results. The product in the product-by-process claims 2-8 are the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art. See In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

2. Furthermore, the claimed process limitations recited in dependent claims 3-8 are directed to a method for producing the claimed silica glass product. These process claims are not considered to add patentable weight to the examination of the product claims. See MPEP § 2113.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed March 27, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Even though the product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even tough the prior product was made by a different process. See MPEP § 2113.

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/549,680 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to QUEENIE DEHGHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-8209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven P. Griffin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791 Application/Control Number: 10/549,680 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Q Dehghan